Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

JONES et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civ. Action No. 2:20-cv-02892

VARSITY BRANDS, LLC et al.,

Defendants.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS OF DEFENDANT CHARLESBANK CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Defendant Charlesbank Capital Partners, LLC ("Charlesbank") hereby responds and objects to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories.

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS

- 1. Charlesbank notes that the vast majority of the terms purportedly defined in the "Definitions" (other than "You" and "state") are not used in the interrogatories and Charlesbank will therefore disregard them.
- 2. Charlesbank objects to the term "You" in Definition 8 to the extent it seeks to include any person or entity beyond Charlesbank. Where "You" appears in the requests, Charlesbank will interpret the term to mean Charlesbank.

OBJECTIONS TO INSTRUCTIONS

1. Charlesbank objects to the Instructions to the extent Plaintiffs seek to impose any obligation on Charlesbank beyond that required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Charlesbank will respond in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and will otherwise disregard the Instructions.

2. Charlesbank objects to the time period contained in Instruction 7 and the

embedded definition of "Relevant Time Period" as overly broad and unduly burdensome, and as

inconsistent with the Court's previous rulings in this matter. To the extent Charlesbank responds

to these requests, Charlesbank will only do so for the period January 1, 2015 to June 30, 2020.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS

The above objections are explicitly incorporated by reference to each of the following

responses as if they were set forth in full in each individual response.

<u>Interrogatory No. 1</u>: State each affirmative defense to the claims against You.

Objection and Response to Interrogatory No. 1: Charlesbank objects to Interrogatory 1 as

seeking information that Charlesbank is not required to provide prior to filing an answer in this

case. Charlesbank will identify its affirmative defenses when an answer is required under the

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Interrogatory No. 2: State each fact which supports each affirmative defense stated in

response to Interrogatory No. 1.

Objection and Response to Interrogatory No. 2: Charlesbank objects to Interrogatory 2 as

seeking information that Charlesbank is not required to provide prior to filing an answer in this

case, and as seeking attorney work product. Charlesbank will identify the facts supporting its

affirmative defenses if, when, and to the extent it is required to do so under the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure.

Dated: April 4, 2022

/s Steven J. Kaiser

George S. Cary*

Steven J. Kaiser*

Linden Bernhardt*

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

2

2112 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20037 Phone: (202) 974-1500 Fax: (202) 974-1999 gcary@cgsh.com skaiser@cgsh.com lbernhardt@cgsh.com

Jennifer Kennedy Park*
Heather Nyong'o*
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
1841 Page Mill Rd, Suite 250
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Phone: (650) 815-4100

Fax: (202) 974-1999 jkpark@cgsh.com hnyongo@cgsh.com

* Admitted pro hac vice

Matthew S. Mulqueen (TN #28418)
Adam S. Baldridge (TN #23488)
BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL &
BERKOWITZ
165 Madison Avenue, Suite 2000
Memphis, TN 38103
Phone: (901) 526-2000
Fax: (901) 577-0866
mmulqueen@bakerdonelson.com
abaldridge@bakerdonelson.com

Attorneys for Defendant Charlesbank Capital Partners, LLC